



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,554	02/17/2004	Michael C. Wood	020824-005310US	9285

20350 7590 07/27/2007  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER
----------

GISHNOCK, NIKOLAI A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3714

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/27/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/781,554

Applicant(s)

WOOD ET AL.

Examiner

Nikolai A. Gishnock

Art Unit

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/12/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The use of the trademarks MYLAR and TYVEK have been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3714

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, & 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan (US 5,879,324), hereinafter known as Tan, in view of Simone (US 4,997,374), hereinafter known as Simone. Tan teaches a print media apparatus comprising: a first coupling element (Figure 2, Item 100), a plurality of electrical elements (Figure 5A, Item 180); processor coupled to the plurality of electrical elements (Figure 4, Item 130, micro computer); and a book, comprising a spine having a second coupling element (Figure 5A), wherein the book and platform are removably coupled through the first and second coupling elements (Figure 2, Items 100 & 105, also, 3:48-58, also in 4:58-64) [Claims 1, 24, & 25]. What Tan fails to teach is a platform including a surface with a first coupling coupled to a book [Claims 1, 24, & 25]. However, Simone teaches a console unit having a base (Figure 2, Item 20), having a work booklet mounted thereon (Figure 2, Item 42; also, 3:51-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have incorporated the first coupling element of Tan onto the surface of the housing of Simone, in order to removably electronically connect the book with the second coupling of Tan to the platform, and also to have placed the plurality of electrical elements of Tan in the surface of Simone, in order to reduce the manufacturing costs of the books [Claims 1, 24, & 25]. Tan teaches a print media apparatus of claim 1 further comprising: (e) a speaker coupled to the processor (Figure 4, Item 138) [Claim 2]. Tan teaches wherein the plurality of electrical elements under the surface of the platform comprises a plurality of pressure switches (push-buttons, 4:5-11). What Tan fails to explicitly teach is where the pressure switches are located under the surface of the platform [Claim 4]. However, Simone teaches a plurality of pressure switches under the surface of the platform (depressible buttons in the housing, 6:11-42; also, Figure 2, Item 56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have placed the plurality of electrical elements of Tan in the

Art Unit: 3714

surface of Simone, in order to reduce the manufacturing costs of the books [Claim 4]. Tan fails to explicitly teach that the book is for children [Claim 5]. However, Simone teaches wherein the book is a children's book (teaching device for aiding children in word recognition skills, 3:10-13; console comprises a work booklet, 3:51-57; the booklet is understood to be a small book).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have made the book taught by Tan a children's book, as taught by Simone, in order to aid children in the development of their word recognition skills [Claims 5 & 26]. Tan teaches a print media apparatus, as demonstrated above. What Tan fails to teach is wherein the apparatus further comprises a handle coupled to the platform [Claim 9]. However, Simone teaches a print media apparatus comprising a platform (base), having a carrying handle (4:53-61, also, Figure 2, Item 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have included a platform with a handle, as taught by Simone, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in order to facilitate carrying the print media apparatus [Claim 9]. Tan teaches a first region proximate (close to) the spine of the book (input areas, such as the uppermost "IN" region in Figure 5A, Item 120, are located close to the spine of the book); and a second region of the surface distal to (away from) the spine of the book (output areas, such as the lowermost "OUT" region in Figure 5A, Item 124, are located further away from the spine of the book than the topmost "IN" region), wherein the first region is more sensitive to user interaction than the second region (the input areas are switches, and the output areas emit light, 5:52-67; it is inherent that the input area switches are more sensitive to user interaction than the output areas, because the inputs are interactive, while the outputs are passive) [Claim 24].

Art Unit: 3714

5. Claims 3, 19, & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakamura et al. (US 7,154,452 B2), hereinafter known as Nakamura. Tan and Simone teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 1 above, including a book having a coupling element on the spine, and a platform having a coupling on a surface for detachably coupling a book to the platform [Claims 3 & 19], and wherein the book includes a children's book [Claim 20]. Tan is understood to be a kit, composed of the interrelated parts of a book comprising a spine (Figure 5B) and a plurality of pages comprising print elements (Figures 5A, & 5B, Items 110), and a removable memory device (memory card, such as PCMCIA card, 4:64-5:6) comprising code for sounds associated with the print elements (specific segments of memory contain audio messages, 4:31-36), which are assembled by a user (4:15-5:16) [Claim 19]. What Tan and Simone fail to teach is wherein the spine includes two second coupling elements at opposite ends of the spine, and wherein the platform includes two first coupling elements at opposite edges of the surface, wherein the book and the platform are detachably coupled together through corresponding first and second coupling elements [Claims 3, 19, & 20]. However, Nakamura teaches a first and second coupling for attaching the spine of an electronic book to a platform, where the first and second coupling elements are located at opposite edges of the spine (12:17-13:8; also, Figure 17, Items 24 {platform}, 54 {spine}, and 56 {couplings}). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used the two couplings at opposite ends of the spine of the book, as taught by Nakamura, with the book of Tan, and the platform of Simone, in order to detect the turning of the book pages via the rotation of the coupling [Claims 3, 19, & 20].

Art Unit: 3714

6. Claims 6 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone, as applied to claims 1 & 24 above, and further in view of Luxury Lap Reader ([web.archive.org/web/20010108175500/www.ladlivers.com/creative/luxurylapreader.htm](http://web.archive.org/web/20010108175500/www.ladlivers.com/creative/luxurylapreader.htm)). Tan and Simone teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claims 1 & 24 above. Simone teaches wherein the platform includes a first side including the surface (base includes an open tray portion adapted for receiving the work booklet), and a second side opposite the first side (base is adapted to be received on a supporting surface, all at 4:53-61).

What Tan and Simone fail to teach is wherein the print media apparatus further comprises a cushion or a pillow at the second side [Claim 6 & 27]. However, Luxury Lap Reader teaches a reading desk with a platform having a first side including a surface adapted for holding a book, and a second side opposite the first side having a pillow (Tapered Pillow for Reading Comfort; Wrist Rest Holds the Book in Place; It has a tapered pillow that keeps the book at a perfect angle for reading, in bullets and descriptive paragraph). The pillow of Luxury Lap Reader could be applied to the platform second side opposite the surface, closest to the reader's lap.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used the pillow of Luxury Lap Reader, on the side of the platform facing the reader's lap as taught by Simone, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in order to comfortably keep the book at the proper angle for reading [Claims 6 & 27].

7. Claims 7, 8, 10 & 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone, as applied to claims 1 & 10 above, and further in view of Rieber et al. (US 5,902,116), hereinafter known as Rieber. Tan and Simone teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 1 above. Tan also teaches selecting a page identifier on a displayed page of the book to identify the displayed page of the book to the user

Art Unit: 3714

(as the user turns to a page, a page number is transmitted to an interfacing adapter, 4:31-36) [Claim 16]. Tan further teaches separating the book from a platform, and removably coupling a second book to a platform (a user can flexibly insert different multimedia books into the adapter, 4:58-64; it is inherent that the user would first have to separate the first book from the platform before coupling the second book to the same platform) [Claim 18]. What Tan and Simone fail to teach is wherein the book comprises a mode selection print element, and wherein selecting the mode selection print element one time causes the apparatus to be in a first mode, selecting the mode selection print element a second time causes the apparatus to be in a second mode, and selecting the mode selection print element a third time causes the apparatus to be in a third mode [Claims 7, 10, & 15]. However, Rieber teaches a children's educational toy, implementing a plurality of data groups, each divided into a plurality of data sets having a different level of intellectual difficulty (4:4-18). The device of Rieber requests responses from the operator via a numeric keypad, and varies the intellectual difficulty of the subsequent requests dependent upon the prior responses of the operator (3:59-64). Thus the keypad of Rieber corresponds to a mode selection element, wherein selecting the element a first time (pressing any one of the number keys), successfully causes the apparatus to select the first harder difficulty (first mode), selecting the element a second time (pressing keys having different colors), successfully causes the apparatus to select the next harder difficulty (second mode), and selecting the element a third time (pressing keys having a particular shape and color), successfully causes the apparatus to select the hardest difficulty (third mode mode), wherein the learning ladder has three levels (4:4-18) [Claims 7, 10, & 15]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have selected the print element of the print media device of Tan, in view of the teachings of Simone, a differing number of times to activate the different device modes, as taught by Rieber, in order to automatically select a

Art Unit: 3714

difficulty based of the user's previous input history, for the purpose of continually challenging the user without causing frustration [Claims 7, 10 & 15]. What Tan and Simone further fail to teach is wherein the first mode is designed for a first age range, and second mode is designed for a second age range, and the third mode is designed for a third age range [Claims 13 & 17]. However, Rieber teaches that having a device of varying levels of intellectual difficulty is more effective in maintaining the attention span of children having higher or lower levels of intelligence (1:43-48). This would include children of differing age ranges, because levels of intelligence vary as the child matures. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to design the first through third modes by age range, as taught by Rieber, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in view of the teachings of Simone, in order to prevent a child with a lower level of intelligence, in a younger age group, from becoming frustrated, and to prevent a child having a higher level of intelligence, in an older age group, from becoming bored [Claims 13 & 17]. What Tan and Simone further fail to teach is wherein the first mode plays music when a print element in the book is selected, the second mode plays descriptive phrases when the print element in the book is selected, and the third mode plays rhymes when the print element in the book is selected [Claims 8 & 14]. However, Applicant has not disclosed that having the three modes play music, descriptive phrases, and rhymes, respectively, solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Moreover, it appears that the first, second, and third selection modes of Rieber or the Applicant's instant invention would perform equally well for playing music, descriptive phrases, and rhymes, respectively. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the print media apparatus of Tan, in view of Simone, with the first, second, and third selection modes of Rieber, such that the first mode plays music, the second, descriptive phrases, and the third, rhymes, respectively, because such

Art Unit: 3714

a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration, which fails to patentably distinguish over Tan, Simone, and Rieber [Claims 8 & 14].

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone and Rieber, as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Luxury Lap Reader. Tan, Simone, and Rieber teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 10 above. Simone teaches wherein the platform includes a first side including the surface (base includes an open tray portion adapted for receiving the work booklet), and a second side opposite the first side (base is adapted to be received on a supporting surface, all at 4:53-61). Tan teaches wherein the book and platform are removably coupled through the first and second coupling elements (Figure 2, Items 100 & 105, also, 3:48-58, also in 4:58-64) [Claim 11]. What Tan, Simone, and Rieber fail to teach is wherein the print media apparatus further comprises a cushion at the second side [Claim 11]. However, Luxury Lap Reader teaches a reading desk with a platform having a first side including a surface adapted for holding a book, and a second side opposite the first side having a pillow (Tapered Pillow for Reading Comfort; Wrist Rest Holds the Book in Place; It has a tapered pillow that keeps the book at a perfect angle for reading, in bullets and descriptive paragraph). The pillow of Luxury Lap Reader could be applied to the platform second side opposite the surface, closest to the reader's lap. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used the pillow of Luxury Lap Reader, on the side of the platform facing the reader's lap as taught by Simone, incorporating the mode selection of Rieber, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in order to comfortably keep the book at the proper angle for reading [Claim 11].

Art Unit: 3714

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone and Nakamura, as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Reiber. Tan, Simone, and Nakamura teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 19 above. What Tan, Simone, and Nakamura fail to teach is wherein the book includes a mode selection print element, and wherein selecting the mode selection print element one time causes the apparatus to be in a first mode, selecting the mode selection print element a second time causes the apparatus to be in a second mode, and selecting the mode selection print element a third time causes the apparatus to be in a third mode [Claim 21]. However, Rieber teaches a children's educational toy, implementing a plurality of data groups, each divided into a plurality of data sets having a different level of intellectual difficulty (4:4-18). The device of Rieber requests responses from the operator via a numeric keypad, and varies the intellectual difficulty of the subsequent requests dependent upon the prior responses of the operator (3:59-64). Thus the keypad of Rieber corresponds to a mode selection element, wherein selecting the element a first time (pressing any one of the number keys), successfully causes the apparatus to select the first harder difficulty (first mode), selecting the element a second time (pressing keys having different colors), successfully causes the apparatus to select the next harder difficulty (second mode), and selecting the element a third time (pressing keys having a particular shape and color), successfully causes the apparatus to select the hardest difficulty (third mode mode), wherein the learning ladder has three levels (4:4-18) [Claim 21]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have selected the print element of the print media device of Tan, in view of the teachings of Simone, a differing number of times to activate the different device modes, as taught by Rieber, in order to automatically select a difficulty based of the user's previous input history, for the purpose of continually challenging the user without causing frustration [Claim 21].

Art Unit: 3714

10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone and Nakamura, as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Kincheloe (US 5,000,327), hereinafter known as Kincheloe. Tan, Simone, and Nakamura teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 19 above. What Tan, Simone, and Nakamura fail to teach is wherein the pages of the book comprise continuous polyethylene fibers [Claim 22]. However, Kincheloe teaches a notebook composed of a spiral binding element (spine) retaining paper-like sheets made of TYVEK (4:29-58), which is the brand of spun-bonded olefin, a kind of high-density polyethylene fiber produced by the E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company Corporation. The book as used by Tan would be composed of sheets of spun-bonded olefin. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have made the book as taught by Tan, in light of the teachings of Simone and Nakamura, in the print media apparatus of Tan, composed of spun olefin fibers, as taught by Kincheloe, in order to make a waterproof book that would be harder to damage when used by young children [Claim 22].

11. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kincheloe. Tan and Simone teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 1 above. What Tan and Simone fail to teach is wherein pages of the book comprise continuous polyethylene fibers [Claim 23]. However, Kincheloe teaches a notebook composed of a spiral binding element (spine) retaining paper-like sheets made of TYVEK (4:29-58), which is the brand of spun-bonded olefin, a kind of high-density polyethylene fiber produced by the E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company Corporation. The book as used by Tan would be composed of sheets of spun-bonded olefin. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention

Art Unit: 3714

was made, to have made the book as taught by Tan, in light of the teachings of Simone, in the print media apparatus of Tan, composed of spun olefin fibers, as taught by Kincheloe, in order to make a waterproof book that would be harder to damage when used by young children [Claim 23].

12. Claims 28, 30, & 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone, as applied to claims 1 & 24 above, and further in view of Jacobson et al. (US 6,130,773), hereinafter known as Jacobson. Tan and Simone teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claims 1 & 24 above. Simone teaches a print medium on the surface of a platform (Figure 2, Item 42; also, 3:51-57) [Claim 31]. What Tan and Simone fail to teach is wherein the electrical elements are piezoelectric elements [Claims 28, 30, & 31]. However, Jacobson teaches a book having a paper substrate, containing a piezoelectric element for activating a driver circuit and a display (8:1-17). The manner in which Jacobson uses the piezoelectric element to power circuitry is akin to a user-operable switch, as it causes the activation of the circuitry when the book is opened by a user. Such switches would replace the traditional membrane switches used by Tan. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have incorporated the piezoelectric switching elements of Jacobson in the platform as taught by Simone, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in order to convert the user's mechanical force of operating the switch into a low-cost power source for operating an electronic display or sound [Claims 28, 30, & 31].

13. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan, in view of Simone and Rieber, as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Jacobson. Tan teaches wherein the electrical elements are piezoelectric elements [Claim 29]. Tan, Simone, and Rieber

Art Unit: 3714

teach all the features as demonstrated above in the rejection of claim 10 above. What Tan, Simone, and Rieber fail to teach is wherein the electrical elements are piezoelectric elements [Claim 29]. However, Jacobson teaches a book having a paper substrate, containing a piezoelectric element for activating a driver circuit and a display (8:1-17). The manner in which Jacobson uses the piezoelectric element to power circuitry is akin to a user-operable switch, as it causes the activation of the circuitry when the book is opened by a user. Such switches would replace the traditional membrane switches used by Tan. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have incorporated the piezoelectric switching elements of Jacobson in the platform as taught by Simone, in light of the teachings of Rieber, in the print media apparatus of Tan, in order to convert the user's mechanical force of operating the switch into a low-cost power source for operating an electronic display or sound [Claim 29].

### ***Conclusion***

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ernst et al. (US 7,203,455 B2) discloses a print media apparatus having a sensor array, microprocessor, and speaker, and first and second couplings designed to hold the wire bound spine of a book in place. Lynch et al. (US 2001/0051329 A1) discloses a print media-receiving unit having a pen sensor and couplings for holding a book in place, with a processor and audio means. McTaggart (US 5,484,292) discloses an electronic book having audio means, in which the switches are constructed from MYLAR film. Ka-wah et al. (US 2002/0081560 A1) discloses a print media apparatus which plays audio music, narration, and rhyme.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikolai A. Gishnock whose telephone number is 571-272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30a-5p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NAG

NAG  
7/19/2007

*Ronald Laneau*

Ronald Laneau  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3714

7/23/07